

United States Parent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,887	08/30/2001	Robert W. Callahan	R-0086USAAPN02 1037	
75	90 07/18/2002			
RALPH J. CRISPINO REVEO, INC. 85 EXECUTIVE BLVD.			EXAMINER	
			PEZZUTO, HELEN LEE	
ELMSFORD, NY 10523			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	·3
			DATE MAILED: 07/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	Office Action Summer:	09/942,887	CALLAHAN ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Helen L. Pezzuto	1713		
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the	corr spondenc address		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the application).			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are rejected.		·		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.			
10) 🗌 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	ıminer.		
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11) 🗌 🛚	· · ·	_is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappr	oved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	·			
12)[_] 1	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No		
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	U .		
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •			
Attachment	-				
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 3		

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- 2. Claims 1 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zupancic et al. (US-981) or Bae et al. (US-902).
 - U.S. 4,708,981 to Zupancic et al. discloses an interpenetrating polymer network derived from an admixture of a host and a guess polymer, which is subsequently crosslinked. Specifically, the host polymer blend of a polymer or copolymer of a phosphoric acid or sulfuric acid with others such as vinylsulfonic acid and the guest polymer is formed from various monoethylenically unsaturated monomers including various unsaturated acids and derivatives thereof.
 - U.S. 5,226,902 to Bae et al. is directed to a biological hydrogel, which is swellable/deswellable in response to stimuli. Suitable hydrogel forming material includes the instantly claimed water-soluble ethylenically unsaturated

Art Unit: 1713

acids and derivatives thereof, thus, meeting the instant claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2-41 and 43-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zupancic et al. (US-981) or Bae et al. (US-902) as discussed above and further in view of Hubbell et al. (US-870).

Prior art references disclose processes of making swellable hydrogel material derived from ethylenically unsaturated acids and acid derivatives, crosslinking agents/monomers in the presence of water. The instantly claimed species are disclosed in these references. Prior art is silent to regarding the conductivity of the resulting material. The examiner is of the position that the claimed conductivity is inherent to polymer hydrogel materials since the identical monomers are used. Prior art is silent regarding

Application/Control Number: 09/942,887

Art Unit: 1713

the further utility of radiation in the polymerization. The examiner is of the position that the employment of radiation is conventional to one skilled in the art as demonstrated in closely analogous US-870, which describe the process of making swellable gels. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to use radiation as an additional mean in the polymerization processes of US-981 and US-902 in light of the advantage taught in US-870.

5. Applicants are advised to submit a supplemental IDS listing the prior art which has been crossed out because of incomplete information given (i.e. date of publication, inventors, etc.)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen L. Pezzuto whose telephone number is (703) 308-2393. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM to 4 PM, Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 892-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,887

Art Unit: 1713

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)/(308-0661).

Helen L.

Promary Examiner

Art Unit 1713

hlp July 1, 2002